UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,670	07/24/2001	Bruce A. Willins	022.0206 (1122)	3824
²⁹⁹⁰⁶ INGRASSIA F	7590 12/11/2007 FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.		EXAMINER	
7150 E. CAMI	ELBACK, STE. 325		NGUYEN, TOAN D	
SCOTTSDAL	E, AZ 85251		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			*				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/911,670	WILLINS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Toan D. Nguyen	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s				
Period for Reply	LV IO OET TO EVENE A N	ONTHON OR THIRTY (20) D	A)/C				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 2007.						
•	•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the mer	rits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		,				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-19	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	·				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bure	·						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not	received.					
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0) 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/9/07.	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2, and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being participated by Vij et al. (US 6,452,910).

For claim 1, Vij et al. disclose bridging apparatus for interconnecting a wireless PAN and a wireless LAN, comprising:

receiving, by an interface of the access point (figure 6, reference Wireless

Bridge), the management communications from the host (figure 6, reference Car Wash)

over a first connection (col. 7 lines 20-25); and

when a communication failure between the host and the access point occurs over the first connection (col. 8 lines 38-43), a radio module of the access point receiving the management communications from a wireless terminal over a second connection, which is different from the first connection, using a second wireless communications protocol to allow management of the access point (col. 8 lines 60-63).

For claim 2, Vij et al. disclose further comprising at least one of configuring one or more resources of said access point and adjusting one or more parameters of said

Art Unit: 2616

access point responsive to said received management communications (col. 8 lines 48-58).

For claim 7, Vij et al. disclose authenticating said management communications (col. 11 lines 5-6).

For claim 8, Vij et al. disclose bridging apparatus for interconnecting a wireless PAN and a wireless LAN, comprising:

a first interface (figure 6, reference Wireless Bridge) for conducting data communications with one or more computers (figure 6, references Car Wash, Pumps, Repair Shop) and for receiving management communications from the one or more computers over a first connection (col. 6 lines 39-42, and col. 7 lines 20-25);

a first radio module (figure 1, reference IEEE 802.11 card, col. 4 line 20) using a first wireless communications protocol for wirelessly transmitting first data messages received from the one or more computers at said first interface to mobile units and for receiving second data messages from the mobile units and relaying the second data messages to the one or more computers via said first interface (col. 4 lines 18-20, and col. 7 lines 20-25);

at least one processor (figure 1, reference CPU) connected to the first interface and the radio module for controlling the access point (col. 4 lines 18-22); and

a second radio module (figure 1, reference Bluetooth card) operating using a second wireless communications protocol, which is different from the first wireless communications protocol, for receiving the management communications from a wireless terminal over a second connection when a communication failure between the

Art Unit: 2616

one or more computers and the access point occurs over the first connection (col. 4 line 20, and col. 8 lines 48-50).

For claim 9, Vij et al. disclose wherein the second radio module is arranged to operate as a slave module using a master slave protocol (col.8 line 6).

For claim 10, Vij et al. disclose wherein the second radio module is arranged to operate as a slave module using a Bluetooth protocol (col.8 line 6).

For claim 11, Vij et al. disclose wherein said processor is further arranged to authenticate communications via said second radio module (col. 11 lines 4-6).

For claim 12, Vij et al. disclose bridging apparatus for interconnecting a wireless PAN and a wireless LAN, comprising:

a first radio module (figure 1, reference IEEE 802.11 card, col. 4 line 20) adapted to provide data communications with mobile units according to a first wireless communications protocol (col. 4 lines 18-20, and col. 7 lines 20-25);

a second radio module (figure 1, reference Bluetooth card) adapted to communicate with a wireless terminal according to a second wireless communications protocol, which is different from the first wireless communications protocol (col. 4 lines 18-20, and col. 7 lines 20-25); and

a processor (figure 1, reference CPU) communicatively coupled to the interface, the first radio module, and the second radio module, the processor adapted to:

provide data messages from the interface to the first radio module (col. 4 lines 18-22),

receive management communications from a remote computer via the interface

Art Unit: 2616

(col. 7 lines 20-25), and when a communication failure between the remote computer and the apparatus occurs over the interface, to receive the management communications from

the wireless terminal via the second radio module (col. 8 lines 48-50).

For claim 13, Vij et al. disclose wherein the processor (figure 1, reference CPU) is adapted to allow data communications through the first radio module and to allow to management features through the second radio module (col. 6 lines 45-54).

For claim 14, Vij et al. disclose wherein the second radio module operates as a slave unit at least during a portion of the time the access to the management features is allowed (col. 8 line 6).

For claim 15, Vij et al. disclose wherein the processor is further adapted to authenticate communications associated with access of management features (col. 11 lines 1-6).

For claim 16, Vij et al. disclose wherein the first protocol is an 802.11 protocol and the second wireless communications protocol is a Bluetooth protocol (col. 3 line 50).

For claim 17, Vij et al. disclose wherein the processor is further adapted to allow monitoring of the data communications (col. 8 lines 22-50).

For claim 18, Vij et al. disclose wherein receiving the management communications comprises receiving one or more communications selected from a group of communications that includes updated system information, modified system

Art Unit: 2616

programming, information concerning association with the mobile units, data for use by access points, and software for use by access points (col. 8 lines 48-58).

For claim 19, Vij et al. disclose monitoring the wireless data communication using the second wireless data communications protocol (col. 8 lines 22-50).

For claim 20, Vij et al. disclose wherein the at least one processor is further allows monitoring the data communications using the second wireless data communications protocol (col. 8 lines 22-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vij et al. (US 6,452,910) in view of Shoobridge et al. (US 6,326,926).

Art Unit: 2616

For claims 3 and 5, Vij et al. do not expressly disclose wherein the first wireless communications protocol is an 802.11 Protocol and the second wireless communications protocol is Bluetooth. In an analogous art, Shoobridge et al. disclose wherein the first wireless communications protocol is an 802.11 Protocol and the second wireless communications protocol is Bluetooth (figure 2, col. 5 lines 64-67).

Shoobridge et al. disclose wherein said second wireless communications protocol is Bluetooth (col. 5 lines 64-67 as set forth in claim 5).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein the first wireless communication protocol is an 802.11 Protocol and the second wireless communications protocol is Bluetooth, and would have applied Shoobridge et al.'s cellular communication system 50 in Vi et al.'s PAN/LAN system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Shoobridge et al.'s method of operating a wireless and a short-range wireless connection in the same frequency in Vij et al.'s bridging apparatus for interconnecting a wireless PAN and a wireless LAN with the motivation being to provide a cellular communication system 50 employing the Bluetooth standard and a local area network (LAN) 52 (col. 5 lines 64-67).

For claim 4, Vij et al. disclose authenticating said management communications (col. 11 lines 5-6).

For claim 6, Vij et al. disclose associating said radio module as a slave unit (col. 8 line 6).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2616

6. Applicant's arguments filed 09/28/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues with respect to amended independent claims 1, 8, and 12 on page 10, second paragraph that Vij does not disclose all of the limitation of amended independent claims. The examiner disagrees. Regarding to claim 1, Vij discloses at col. 7 lines 20-25 (see figure 6): "Application software on the Internet-connected back-end server will translate the TCP/IP stream to RS-232 data stream (receiving, by an interface of the access point, the management communications from the host over a first connection means). Vij discloses at col. 8 lines 38-43:"When a vehicle enters an overlapping zone, the bridge in the new zone will not detect the vehicle, since the Vehicle Module stops page scanning when a connection gets established..." (when a communication failure between the host and the access point occurs over the first connection means). Vij discloses further at col. 8 lines 59-63:"When a vehicle leaves a zone, both the bridge and Vehicle Module detect signal strength loss, and disconnect. The Vehicle Module goes back to the Page scan mode,..." (a radio module of the access point receiving the management communications from a wireless terminal over a second connection, which is different from the first connection, using a second wireless communications protocol to allow management of the access point means). Regarding to claims 8 and 12, the examiner refers to the Office action rejection above.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/911,670 Page 9

Art Unit: 2616

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00AM-4:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000. TN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600